

AEA INDUSTRY SEMINAR CYBER PANEL

Challenges Integrating IT In USACE Construction

Panel Participants

- Deke Smith - Former Chief Architect DUSD(I&E) DoD Business Enterprise Architecture and Former Executive Director buildingSMART Alliance
- Juan Espinosa, P.E., PMP, GICSP, Senior Project Manager, PARSONS
- Mark M. Duszynski, Vice President Strategy, Programs, Alliances and Technology, Johnson Controls Federal Systems, Inc
- Jonathan Winkler, Chief, Electronic Technology Division, , US Army Engineering & Support Center, Huntsville

Moderator: COL (Ret) RON DABBIERI

1 August 2019



CYBER PANEL OBJECTIVE

- Industry's Opportunity to Tell USACE How to Improve Their Construction Solicitations & Contracts to Better Include & Integrate IT into Projects
- Huntsville's Opportunity to Comment
- Conference Participants Opportunity to Make Comments and Suggestions

DISPARATE RESPONSIBILITIES

- What makes coordinating and integrating IT into any military construction project challenging is different procurement, funding and installing responsibilities. IAW AR 420-1 Table 2-1, IT can be:
 - Construction Funds (MILCON) procured - Construction Funds (MILCON) installed
 - Proponent Funds (OMA) procured - Proponent Funds (OMA) installed
 - Information Cost Systems (OPA) procured - Information Cost Systems (OPA) installed
 - Subscriber Funds Procured - Subscriber Funds installed
 - Proponent Funds (OMA) procured - Construction Funds (MILCON) installed
- GC Has No Contractual Relationship with Other IT Contractors in their Work Space
 - General Contractor (GC) Can't Control Other IT Contractors but Often Must Accommodate them and Even Integrate them into Their Construction Integrated Master Schedule
 - Often IT is GFE – “Only two types of GFE – Missing & Late!”
- Suggested Solutions?